

## REPRESENTATIONS OF FROBENIUS KERNELS

These are notes for a talk that I was coerced into giving for the Langlands support group on modular representation theory. I am certain that this talk will go horribly — there are too many indices flying around! I should've paid more attention to how the physicists teach general relativity.

Let  $S = \operatorname{Spec}(k)$  with  $k$  a perfect field of characteristic  $p$ . Recall that if  $X$  is an  $S$ -scheme, then the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & & \\ \downarrow F_{X/S} & \searrow F_X & \\ X^{(p)} & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S & \xrightarrow{\text{Frob}} & S \end{array}$$

defines the absolute Frobenius  $F_X$  and the relative Frobenius  $F_{X/S} : X \rightarrow X^{(p)}$ . In this talk, we will be concerned with the relative Frobenius, so we shall simply denote it by  $F : X \rightarrow X^{(p)}$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $G$  be an  $S$ -group, and let  $F^n : G \rightarrow G^{(p^n)}$  denote the  $n$ th iterate of the relative Frobenius. The  $n$ th Frobenius kernel of  $G$  is the  $S$ -subgroup of  $G$  defined by  $G_n = \ker(F^n)$ .

**Remark 2.** There are inclusions  $G_n \subseteq G_{n+1}$ , and that  $(G_n)_{n'}$  is either  $G_{n'}$  (if  $n' \leq n$ ) or  $G_n$  (if  $n \leq n'$ ).

**Example 3.** Suppose  $S = \operatorname{Spec}(k)$ , and let  $G = \mathbf{G}_m = \operatorname{Spec}(k[t^{\pm 1}])$ . Then  $F : \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m^{(p)}$  sends  $t$  to  $t^p$ , and so  $(\mathbf{G}_m)_n = \operatorname{Spec} k[t]/(t^{p^n} - 1) = \mu_{p^n}$ . Similarly, if  $G = \mathbf{G}_a = \operatorname{Spec}(k[t])$ , then  $(\mathbf{G}_a)_n = \operatorname{Spec} k[t]/t^{p^n} = \alpha_{p^n}$ .

**Remark 4.** If  $G$  is a reduced affine  $S$ -group scheme, then  $F^n$  induces an isomorphism  $G/G_n \rightarrow G^{(p^n)}$ . Indeed, this follows from the fact that  $G/G_n$  is the closed subgroup of  $G^{(p^n)}$  given by the kernel of  $k[G]^{(p^n)} \rightarrow k[G]$  sending  $f$  to  $f^{p^n}$ .

Last time, Kevin talked about the equivalence of categories between finite  $S$ -group schemes and finite-dimensional cocommutative Hopf  $k$ -algebras.

**Example 5.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a restricted Lie algebra (so there is a map  $X \mapsto X^{[p]}$ , referred to as a  $p$ -derivation). If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the Lie algebra of an algebraic group over  $k$ , then the  $p$ th power of a derivation is a derivation (because we are in characteristic  $p$ ), and the operation of taking the  $p$ th power of a derivation defines a restricted Lie algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For instance, if  $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Lie}(\mathbf{G}_a)$ , then  $U(\mathfrak{g}) = k[x]$ , and the  $p$ -derivation is trivial.

Recall that  $U^{[p]}(\mathfrak{g})$  denotes the quotient  $U(\mathfrak{g})/(x^p - x^{[p]})$  of the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  by the relation which forces the  $p$ th power of any element to be equal to its  $p$ th derivation. This is a finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra, of dimension  $p^{\dim(\mathfrak{g})}$ . The  $k$ -algebra  $U^{[p]}(\mathfrak{g})$  admits the structure of a cocommutative Hopf algebra, given by sending  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  to  $x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$  under the diagonal, to  $-x$  under the antipode, and to 0 under the counit. By the equivalence of categories discussed last time, there exists a finite algebraic  $k$ -group with associated Hopf algebra  $U^{[p]}(\mathfrak{g})$ . For instance, if  $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Lie}(\mathbf{G}_a)$ , then  $U^{[p]}(\mathfrak{g}) = k[x]/x^p$  — notice that this is exactly the Hopf algebra associated to  $\alpha_p$ . This is a special case of the following more general observation:

**Proposition 6.** Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Lie}(G)$ . The finite  $k$ -group corresponding to  $U^{[p]}(\mathfrak{g})$  is  $G_1$ .

Date: March 2020.

*Proof.* Recall that if  $H$  is any algebraic  $k$ -group, then  $U^{[p]}(\mathfrak{h})$  injects into the algebra  $\text{Dist}(H)$  of distributions. In particular,  $U^{[p]}(\text{Lie}(G_1))$  injects into  $\text{Dist}(G_1)$ . Now,  $\dim U^{[p]}(\mathfrak{g}) = p^{\dim(\mathfrak{g})}$ , so since  $\text{Lie}(G_n) = \mathfrak{g}$  for any  $n \geq 1$ , we must have  $\dim U^{[p]}(\text{Lie}(G_1)) = p^{\dim(\mathfrak{g})}$ . But  $\dim \text{Dist}(G_1) = \dim k[G_1] \leq p^m$ , and so the inclusion of  $U^{[p]}(\text{Lie}(G_1))$  into  $\text{Dist}(G_1)$  must be an isomorphism. Because  $G_1$  is infinitesimal (the augmentation ideal is nilpotent),  $\text{Dist}(G_1)$  is the Hopf algebra associated to  $G_1$ . We conclude that the finite  $k$ -group corresponding to  $U^{[p]}(\mathfrak{g})$  is  $G_1$ .  $\square$

In particular, the representation theory of  $G_1$  is the same as the representation theory of  $U^{[p]}(\mathfrak{g})$ , which is in turn the same as the representation theory of  $\mathfrak{g}$  as a restricted Lie algebra.

In characteristic  $p$ , the cohomology of groups (with coefficients in some representation) is quite interesting, so it would be useful to understand how to relate the group cohomology of  $G$  with the inverse limit of the group cohomology of its Frobenius kernels.

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $G$  be irreducible and reduced, and suppose that  $H^*(G; k)$  is concentrated in degree 0. Suppose further that for every finite-dimensional  $G$ -representation  $V$ , the cohomology groups  $H^i(G; V)$  are finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector spaces. Then for every finite-dimensional  $G$ -representation  $W$ , the map  $H^i(G; W) \rightarrow \lim H^i(G_n; W)$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* For each  $n \geq 1$ , we have the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$E_2^{i,j}(r) = H^i(G/G_n; H^j(G_n; W)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(G; W).$$

Because  $G$  is reduced, there is an isomorphism  $G/G_r \cong G^{(p^n)}$ . Moreover, each  $E_r^{i,j}(n)$  is finite-dimensional. Moreover, the maps  $G_n \rightarrow G_{n+1}$  and  $G/G_n \rightarrow G/G_{n+1}$  induce a map  $\{E_r^{i,j}(n+1)\} \rightarrow \{E_r^{i,j}(n)\}$  of spectral sequences. Inverse limits are exact on filtered colimits of finite-dimensional vector spaces, so there is a spectral sequence  $\{E_r^{i,j}\} := \{\lim_n E_r^{i,j}(n)\}$  converging to  $H^*(G; W)$ .

We claim that  $E_2^{i,j} = 0$  for  $i > j$ . To see this, it suffices to show that for any  $n$ , there exists some  $m \geq n$  (depending only on  $n$  and  $j$ ) such that the map  $E_2^{i,j}(m) \rightarrow E_2^{i,j}(n)$  is zero for all  $i > 0$ . To see this, note that the map  $E_2^{i,j}(m) \rightarrow E_2^{i,j}(n)$  factors as

$$H^i(G/G_m; H^j(G_m; W)) \rightarrow H^i(G/G_n; H^j(G_m; W)) \rightarrow H^i(G/G_n; H^j(G_n; W)^{G_m}) \rightarrow H^i(G/G_n; H^j(G_n; W)).$$

It suffices to show that for each  $n$  and  $j$ , there is some  $m$  such that  $H^j(G_n; W)^{G_m} = H^j(G_n; W)^G$ ; indeed, then

$$H^i(G/G_n; H^j(G_n; W)^{G_m}) \cong H^i(G/G_n; H^j(G_n; W)^G) \cong H^i(G; k) \otimes H^j(G_n; W)^G,$$

which vanishes because we assumed that  $H^*(G; k)$  is concentrated in degree 0.

If  $M$  is a  $G$ -module and  $G$  is irreducible, then  $M^G = \bigcap_{n>0} M^{G^n}$ . There is a descending chain  $\subseteq M^{G^2} \subseteq M^{G^4}$ , so if  $M$  is moreover finite-dimensional, then there exists some  $N \gg 0$  such that  $M^G = M^{G^N}$ . In particular, it follows that for each  $n$  and  $j$ , there is some  $m$  such that  $H^j(G_n; W)^{G_m} = H^j(G_n; W)^G$ , as desired.

Since  $E_2^{i,j} = 0$  for  $i > j$ , we have  $H^i(G; W) \cong \lim H^i(G_n; W)^G$ . Since there exists some  $m \geq n$  such that the map  $H^i(G_m; W) \rightarrow H^i(G_n; W)$  takes values in  $H^i(G_n; W)^G$ , we find that  $\lim H^i(G_n; W)^G \cong \lim H^i(G_n; W)$ . This proves the desired result.  $\square$

We now turn to the May spectral sequence to calculate the cohomology of these Frobenius kernels. Recall how this goes:

**Definition 8.** Let  $A$  be a commutative Hopf algebra over  $k$ , and let  $I$  denote the kernel of the augmentation  $A \rightarrow k$ . If  $M$  is an  $A$ -comodule, then the Hochschild complex  $A^{\otimes \bullet} \otimes M$  computes the cohomology of  $M$  as an  $A$ -module. The powers of the augmentation ideal give a filtration of the Hochschild complex, where the  $n$ th filtered piece in degree  $j$  is  $\sum I^{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I^{a_j} \otimes M$  with  $\sum a_i \geq n$ . The associated graded of this filtration in degree  $j$  is therefore  $\bigoplus I^{a_1}/I^{a_1+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I^{a_j}/I^{a_j+1} \otimes M$ , where the sum is taken over all  $a_i$  such that  $\sum a_i = n$ .

It's an easy calculation that, in fact, the differentials respect the filtration, and hence descend to the associated graded. Moreover, if  $\text{gr}(A)$  is defined to be  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I^n/I^{n+1}$ , then  $\text{gr}(A)$  is a Hopf algebra over  $k$ , and  $M$  can be given the structure of a trivial  $\text{gr}(A)$ -module. The associated graded of the

Hochschild complex of  $M$  as a  $G$ -module is then isomorphic (as a complex) to the Hochschild complex of  $M$  as a  $\mathrm{gr}(A)$ -module. In particular, if  $\bigcap I^n = 0$ , then we obtain a convergent spectral sequence

$$E_1^{i,j} = H^{i+j}(\mathrm{gr}(A); k)_j \otimes M \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(A; M).$$

This is known as the May spectral sequence.

**Example 9.** If  $G$  is an algebraic  $k$ -group, setting  $A = k[G]$  produces a commutative Hopf algebra  $\mathrm{gr}(A)$ , and hence a  $k$ -group scheme  $\mathrm{gr}(G)$  such that  $k[\mathrm{gr}(G)] = \mathrm{gr}(A)$ . It follows that if  $G$  is irreducible, then there is a May spectral sequence

$$E_1^{i,j} = H^{i+j}(\mathrm{gr}(G); k)_j \otimes M \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(G; M).$$

This spectral sequence is multiplicative.

**Proposition 10.** *Let  $G$  be reduced and irreducible. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$E_1^{i,j} \cong \bigoplus M \otimes (\mathrm{Sym}^{a_1} \mathfrak{g}^*)^{(p)} \otimes (\mathrm{Sym}^{a_2} \mathfrak{g}^*)^{(p^2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^{b_1} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes (\Lambda^{b_2} \mathfrak{g}^*)^{(p)} \otimes \cdots$$

for  $p$  odd, where the sum is over all finite sequences  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  with  $i + j = \sum 2a_n + b_n$  and  $i = \sum a_n p^n + b_n p^{n-1}$ .

If  $p = 2$ , then

$$E_1^{i,j} \cong \bigoplus M \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{a_1} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes (\mathrm{Sym}^{a_2} \mathfrak{g}^*)^{(p)} \otimes \cdots,$$

where the sum is over all finite sequences  $\{a_n\}$  such that  $i + j = \sum a_n$  and  $i = \sum a_n 2^{n-1}$ .

*Proof sketch.* Obviously, we only need to understand  $H^*(\mathrm{gr}(G); k)$ . We will work at an odd prime; the argument is the same for the even prime. There is a surjection  $\mathrm{Sym}(I/I^2) \rightarrow k[\mathrm{gr}(G)]$ , and this is an isomorphism if  $G$  is reduced. In particular, the cohomology of  $\mathrm{gr}(G)$  is precisely the cohomology of the Hopf algebra  $\mathrm{Sym}(I/I^2) = \mathrm{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$  (with trivial diagonal). The claimed result now follows from the general claim that if  $V$  is a finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector space, then

$$H^*(\mathrm{Sym}(V^*); k) \cong \mathrm{Sym} \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} (V^*)^{(p^n)} \right) \otimes \Lambda \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (V^*)^{(p^n)} \right),$$

if I haven't messed up the indexing. One can check this by writing down a Koszul resolution for  $k$  as a  $\mathrm{Sym}(V^*)$ -comodule.  $\square$

**Remark 11.** Recall that in characteristic 0, we have  $H^*(\mathrm{Sym}(V^*); k) \cong \Lambda V$ .

The spectral sequence of Proposition 10 also works for the cohomology of the Frobenius kernels; the idea here is that one truncates the contributions coming from a large enough  $I$ -adic filtration.

**Proposition 12.** *Let  $G$  be reduced and irreducible. There is a May spectral sequence*

$$E_1^{i,j} = H^{i+j}(\mathrm{gr}(G); k)_j \otimes M \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(G_N; M).$$

There is an isomorphism

$$E_1^{i,j} \cong \bigoplus M \otimes (\mathrm{Sym}^{a_1} \mathfrak{g}^*)^{(p)} \otimes (\mathrm{Sym}^{a_2} \mathfrak{g}^*)^{(p^2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^{b_1} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes (\Lambda^{b_2} \mathfrak{g}^*)^{(p)} \otimes \cdots$$

for  $p$  odd, where the sum is over all finite sequences  $\{a_n\}_{1 \leq n \leq N}$  and  $\{b_n\}_{1 \leq n \leq N}$  with  $i + j = \sum 2a_n + b_n$  and  $i = \sum a_n p^n + b_n p^{n-1}$ .

If  $p = 2$ , then

$$E_1^{i,j} \cong \bigoplus M \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{a_1} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes (\mathrm{Sym}^{a_2} \mathfrak{g}^*)^{(p)} \otimes \cdots,$$

where the sum is over all finite sequences  $\{a_n\}_{1 \leq n \leq N}$  such that  $i + j = \sum a_n$  and  $i = \sum a_n 2^{n-1}$ .

**Example 13.** Let  $G = \mathbf{G}_a$ . Then

$$H^*(\mathbf{G}_a; k) \cong \begin{cases} k[x_1, x_2, \dots] & \text{char}(k) = 2 \\ k[y_1, y_2, \dots] \otimes \Lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots) & \text{char}(k) > 2, \end{cases}$$

where  $|x_i| = 1$  and  $|y_i| = 2$ . Similarly,

$$H^*(\alpha_{p^n}; k) \cong \begin{cases} k[x_1, \dots, x_n] & \text{char}(k) = 2 \\ k[y_1, \dots, y_n] \otimes \Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n) & \text{char}(k) > 2. \end{cases}$$

(This is the cohomology of  $B(\mathbf{Z}/p)^n$ .)

**Example 14.** Suppose  $N = 1$ , and work at an odd prime. Then one can check that

$$E_1^{i,j} = \begin{cases} M \otimes (\text{Sym}^s \mathfrak{g}^*)^{(p)} \otimes \Lambda^{t-s} \mathfrak{g}^* & \text{if } i = s(p-1) + t, j = -(p-2)s \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

This spectral sequence is rather sparse: because  $E_1^{i,j}$  vanishes for  $(p-2) \nmid j$ , we find that the  $d_r$ -differential vanishes for  $r \neq 1 \pmod{p-2}$ . Let us define a reindexed spectral sequence  $\{\tilde{E}_r^{i,j}\}$  by setting  $\tilde{E}_r^{i,j} = E_{(p-2)r+1}^{i+j, -(p-2)^i}$ . One then ends up with a spectral sequence

$$\tilde{E}_0^{i,j} = M \otimes (\text{Sym}^i \mathfrak{g}^*)^{(p)} \otimes \Lambda^{j-i} \mathfrak{g}^* \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(G_1; M).$$

This is jarring to write down; I've never written down the  $E_0$ -page of a spectral sequence.

**Example 15.** One can explicitly write down the 0-line of the  $E_1$ -page of the spectral sequence in Example 14. Since  $d_0^{0,j} : E_0^{0,j} \rightarrow E_0^{0,j+1}$  and  $E_0^{0,j} = M \otimes \Lambda^j \mathfrak{g}^*$ , we find that

$$d_0^{0,j} : M \otimes \Lambda^j \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow M \otimes \Lambda^{j+1} \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

A rather tedious calculation shows that the complex  $(E_0^{0,*}, d_0^{0,*})$  is isomorphic to the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex  $M \otimes \Lambda^\bullet \mathfrak{g}$  computing the cohomology of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . I haven't had time to go through this in detail myself. In any case, one ends up with an isomorphism  $\tilde{E}_1^{0,j} \cong H^j(\mathfrak{g}; M)$ .

In fact, one can calculate the entire  $E_1$ -page of this spectral sequence.

**Theorem 16** (Friedlander-Parshall, Jantzen). *The  $E_1$ -page of the spectral sequence in Example 14 can be described as follows:*

$$\tilde{E}_1^{i,j} = H^{j-i}(\mathfrak{g}; M) \otimes (\text{Sym}^* \mathfrak{g}^*)^{(p)}.$$

*Proof sketch.* The  $d_0$ -differential (still jarring) goes  $d_0^{i,j} : \tilde{E}_0^{i,j} \rightarrow E_0^{i,j+1}$ , i.e.,

$$d_0^{i,j} : M \otimes \Lambda^{j-i} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes (\text{Sym}^s \mathfrak{g}^*)^{(p)} \rightarrow M \otimes \Lambda^{j-i+1} \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes (\text{Sym}^s \mathfrak{g}^*)^{(p)}.$$

This differential is a derivation, so

$$d_0^{i,j}(m \otimes x \otimes y) = d_0^{0,j-i}(m \otimes x) \otimes y + m \otimes x \otimes d_0^{i,i}(y).$$

Here,  $d_0^{i,i}$  denotes the differential appearing in the  $E_0$ -page of the spectral sequence for  $M = k$ . Example 15 implies that in order to get the statement of the theorem, it suffices to show that  $d_0^{i,i}$  vanishes for all  $i$ . In turn, it suffices to show that  $d_0^{1,1} = 0$ .

It is rather easy to observe that if  $H$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$ , then the vanishing of  $d_0^{i,j}$  for  $H$  implies the vanishing of  $d_0^{i,j}$  for  $G$ . Since we can always choose some large enough  $n$  such that  $G$  is a closed subgroup of  $\text{SL}_n$ , it suffices to show that  $d_0^{1,1}$  vanishes for  $\text{SL}_n$  (at least, for certain  $n$ ).

Recall that

$$d_0^{1,1} : (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{(p)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{(p)}.$$

There is a natural  $G$ -action on the spectral sequence of Proposition 10, and so this is a  $G$ -equivariant, and hence  $\mathfrak{g}$ -equivariant, homomorphism. One now argues that if  $G$  is defined over  $\mathbf{F}_p$ , then the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -action on  $(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{(p)}$  is trivial. Therefore,  $d_0^{1,1}$  factors through  $(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{(p)} = (\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}])^* \otimes (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{(p)}$ . One now concludes using the observation that if  $p \nmid n$ , then  $\mathfrak{sl}_n = [\mathfrak{sl}_n, \mathfrak{sl}_n]$ .  $\square$

**Remark 17.** The Friedlander-Parshall spectral sequence is usually written with another indexing: suppose we reindex again, and write

$${}'E_{2r}^{i,j} = {}'E_{2r-1}^{i,j} = \begin{cases} \tilde{E}_r^{m,m+j} & i = 2m \\ 0 & i = m' + 1 \end{cases},$$

so that  $d_{2r}^{2m,j} = d_r^{m,m+j}$ . Then Theorem 16 implies that

$${}'E_2^{2i,j} \cong H^j(\mathfrak{g}; M) \otimes (\mathrm{Sym}^i \mathfrak{g}^*)^{(p)} \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(G_1; M).$$

**Remark 18.** These spectral sequences imply that  $H^*(G_1; k)$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra. This was generalized by Friedlander and Suslin, who showed that if  $G$  is a finite group scheme, then  $H^*(G; k)$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra. Moreover, they showed that if  $M$  is a finite-dimensional  $G$ -module, then  $H^*(G; M)$  is a finitely generated  $H^*(G; k)$ -module.

*Email address:* `sanathd@mit.edu`