

# Orientations of derived formal groups

Sanath Devalapurkar

## 1. Introduction

In previous lectures, we discussed the spectral deformation theory of  $p$ -divisible groups. The main result we proved was (see [Lur16, Theorem 3.0.11]):

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{G}_0$  be a nonstationary  $p$ -divisible group over a Noetherian  $F$ -finite  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -algebra  $R_0$ <sup>1</sup>. Then there is a universal deformation of  $\mathbf{G}_0$ : in other words, there is a Noetherian connective  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring  $R_{\mathbf{G}_0}^{\text{un}}$  equipped with a universal deformation  $\mathbf{G}$  of  $\mathbf{G}_0$ .*

In analogy with the classical story, one might hope that the universal deformation of a  $p$ -divisible formal group  $\mathbf{G}_0$  over a field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$  would give Morava  $E$ -theory  $E(k, \mathbf{G}_0)$  — but this is not true! Morava  $E$ -theory is 2-periodic, but  $R_{\mathbf{G}_0}^{\text{un}}$  is a connective  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring.

The reason for this apparent failure can be boiled down to a very simple problem: we did not ask that these deformations of  $\mathbf{G}_0$  have anything to do with topology. At the moment, this is a rather vague statement, but later in this lecture we will make it more precise. For now, let us illustrate with the concrete example of  $\mathbf{G}_0 = \mu_{p^\infty}$  (over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ ). The Cartier dual of  $\mathbf{G}_0$  is just the constant group scheme  $\mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$  (if  $k$  was not algebraically closed, this would just be an étale group scheme), and the deformation theory of the constant group scheme is trivial. It follows that  $\text{Def}_{\mu_{p^\infty}}$  is representable by  $\text{Spf } S_p$ , so that  $R_{\mu_{p^\infty}}^{\text{un}} = S_p$ , the  $p$ -complete sphere.

We already know that  $E(k, \mu_{p^\infty})$  is supposed to be  $p$ -adic  $K$ -theory, so we would like a way of constructing (via an algebro-geometric procedure)  $K_p$  from  $S_p$ . To do this, we take a hint from a classical result of Snaith's (see [Sna81]):

**Theorem 1.2** (Snaith). *There is an equivalence  $\Sigma_+^\infty \mathbf{C}P^\infty[\beta^{\pm 1}] \simeq K$ .*

There is therefore a canonical map of  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -rings  $\Sigma_+^\infty \mathbf{C}P^\infty \rightarrow K$ , given by localization at the Bott element.

**Remark 1.3.** This map of  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -rings can be constructed without ever having to refer to Snaith's theorem: the inclusion  $\mathbf{C}P^\infty \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_1 K$  is adjoint to the  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring map  $\Sigma_+^\infty \mathbf{C}P^\infty \rightarrow K$ .

We are left with accomplishing the following two tasks:

---

<sup>1</sup>This just means that  $\mathbf{G}_0$  is classified by an unramified map  $\text{Spec } R_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{BT}}$  over a ring  $R_0$  with a finite Frobenius map  $\phi : R_0 \rightarrow R_0$ .

- (1) Construct (again, via an algebro-geometric procedure)  $\Sigma_+^\infty \mathbf{C}P^\infty$  from  $S_p$ .
- (2) Define the Bott element in  $\pi_2 \Sigma_+^\infty \mathbf{C}P^\infty$ .

We will accomplish both of these tasks (and more) in this lecture, where  $S_p$  is replaced by a general  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring, and  $\mu_{p^\infty}$  is replaced by a general formal group. For the purpose of concreteness, we will illustrate (almost) everything with the example of the formal multiplicative group throughout these notes.

**Remark 1.4.** We used Snaith's theorem as a motivating construction, but one can actually easily recover his result from the content of this and the following lectures.

## 2. Dualizing sheaves on formal groups

In the previous lecture, Robert defined the dualizing line of a formal group  $\mathbf{G}_0 : \mathbf{CAlg}_R^{\text{cn}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_\mathbf{Z}^{\text{cn}}$  (with underlying formal hyperplane  $X = \Omega^\infty \mathbf{G}_0$ ) over an  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring  $R$ , with a fixed basepoint  $\eta \in X(R)$ . This required us to be fairly careful: the naïve definition as the pullback  $\eta^* \mathbf{L}_{X/R}$  of the cotangent complex is not sufficient. The primary issue with this construction is that if  $R$  is an ordinary ring, then  $\eta^* \mathbf{L}_{X/R}$  is *not* concentrated in degree 0, so it does not agree with the cotangent space  $R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_X/R}$ . These problems are remedied by the dualizing line, whose definition and key properties we will now recall.

We will fix an  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring  $R$  and a formal hyperplane (which will always be one-dimensional)  $X$  over  $R$ , with a basepoint  $\eta \in X(\tau_{\geq 0} R)$ . In all cases of interest,  $X$  will arise as  $\Omega^\infty \mathbf{G}_0$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Define  $\mathcal{O}_X(-\eta)$  by the cofiber sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_X(-\eta) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\eta} R;$$

then the dualizing line  $\omega_{X,\eta}$  is defined to be  $\mathcal{O}_X(-\eta) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} R$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *The dualizing line satisfies the following properties:*

- (1)  $\omega_{X \otimes_R R', \eta \otimes_R R'} \simeq \omega_{X,\eta} \otimes_R R'$  for any  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring map  $R \rightarrow R'$ .
- (2) A map  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  of hyperplanes is an equivalence if and only if the map  $\omega_{X',\eta'} \rightarrow \omega_{X,\eta}$  is an equivalence.
- (3)  $\omega_{X,\eta}$  sits in a fiber sequence of  $R$ -modules

$$\Sigma \omega_{X,\eta} \rightarrow R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} R \xrightarrow{m} R.$$

**Remark 2.3.** When  $R$  is a classical ring, and  $X$  is a formal hyperplane over  $R$ , we may identify  $\omega_{X,\eta}$  with  $\ker(\epsilon)/\ker(\epsilon)^2$ , where  $\epsilon : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow R$  is the augmentation. This is exactly the cotangent space.

**Construction 2.4** (Linearization). Using Proposition 2.2, we obtain a map, natural in the connective  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ - $R$ -algebra  $A$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega X(A) \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathbf{CAlg}_R}(R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} R, A) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R}(R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} R, A) \\ \searrow \text{linearization} & & \downarrow \\ & & \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R}(\Sigma \omega_{X,\eta}, A) \end{array}$$

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R}(\omega_{X,\eta}, \Sigma^{-1} A) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R}(\omega_{X,\eta}, \Sigma \omega_{X,\eta}, A)$$

The linearization map is particularly important when  $A = \tau_{\geq 0} R$ .

**Example 2.5.** The strict multiplicative group  $\mathbf{G}_m : \mathbf{CAlg} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\text{cn}}$  is defined via

$$\mathbf{G}_m(R) = \text{Map}_{\text{Sp}}(\mathbf{HZ}, \text{GL}_1(R)) \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathbf{CAlg}}(\Sigma_+^\infty \mathbf{Z}, R).$$

The last identification above shows that  $R \mapsto \Omega^\infty \mathbf{G}_m(R)$  is represented by  $\text{Spec } \Sigma_+^\infty \mathbf{Z} \simeq \text{Spec } S[t^{\pm 1}]$ . Of course, one can now define  $\mathbf{G}_m$  over any  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring by base change. Let  $\mathbf{G}_0$  be the formal multiplicative group  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m$ . This is defined to be the formal completion of the strict multiplicative group  $\mathbf{G}_m$ ; in other words,  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m$  is defined by the fiber sequence

$$\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m(R^{\text{red}}).$$

By construction, this is representable by  $S[t^{\pm 1}]_{(t-1)}^\wedge$ . Therefore,

$$S \otimes_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m} S \simeq S \otimes_{\Sigma_+^\infty \mathbf{Z}} S \simeq \Sigma_+^\infty \mathbf{B}\mathbf{Z} \simeq \Sigma_+^\infty S^1 \simeq \Sigma^\infty S^1 \vee S.$$

By Proposition 2.2, we learn that  $\omega_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m} \simeq S$ . It follows that the diagram defining the linearization map becomes (our base scheme here is  $S$ , so  $A$  is any connective  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^{\infty+1} \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m(A) & \xlongequal{\quad} \text{Map}_{\mathbf{CAlg}}(\Sigma_+^\infty S^1, A) & \longrightarrow \text{Map}_{\text{Sp}}(\Sigma_+^\infty S^1, A) \simeq \Omega^{\infty+1} \mathfrak{gl}_1(A) \\ \text{linearization} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Omega(x \mapsto x-1) \sim \\ \Omega^{\infty+1} A & \longleftarrow \text{Map}_{\text{Sp}}(\Sigma^\infty S^1, \Sigma^{-1} A) & \xlongequal{\quad} \text{Map}_{\text{Sp}}(\Sigma \Sigma^\infty S^1, A) \end{array}$$

The linearization map is therefore aptly named.

### 3. Classifying orientations

In order to proceed, we will need to recall a classical bit of algebraic topology; namely, the following statements are equivalent for a spectrum  $E$ :

- (1) the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence computing  $E^*(\mathbf{C}P^\infty)$  degenerates.
- (2) the canonical unit element of  $\widetilde{E}^2(S^2) \simeq E^0(*) \simeq \pi_0 E$  lies in the image of  $\widetilde{E}^2(\mathbf{C}P^\infty) \rightarrow \widetilde{E}^2(S^2)$ .

The unit element can be thought of as a pointed map  $S^2 \rightarrow \Omega^\infty E$  (however, this is dependent on the choice of a basepoint of  $S^2 \subseteq \mathbf{C}P^\infty$ ). This motivates:

**Definition 3.1.** A preorientation of a formal hyperplane  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$  is a pointed map  $S^2 \rightarrow X(\tau_{\geq 0} R)$ .

In particular, the space  $\text{Pre}(X)$  of preorientations is exactly  $\Omega^2 X(\tau_{\geq 0} R)$ . Note that space this is functorial in  $R$ . The linearization map above gives a map:

$$\text{Pre}(X) \simeq \Omega(\Omega X(\tau_{\geq 0} R)) \rightarrow \Omega \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R}(\omega_{X,\eta}, \Sigma^{-1} R) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R}(\omega_{X,\eta}, \Sigma^{-2} R).$$

The choice of a preorientation of  $X$  therefore determines a map  $\omega_{X,\eta} \rightarrow \Sigma^{-2} R$  of  $R$ -modules; this is called the Bott map.

If  $X$  arises as  $\Omega^\infty \circ \mathbf{G}_0$  for some formal group  $\mathbf{G}_0$ , then

$$\text{Pre}(\mathbf{G}_0) = \Omega^{\infty+2} \mathbf{G}_0(\tau_{\geq 0} R) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbf{Z}}}(\Sigma^2 \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{G}_0(\tau_{\geq 0} R)).$$

**Example 3.2.** By the above discussion, we know that  $\text{Pre}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbf{Z}}}(\Sigma^2 \mathbf{Z}, \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m(\tau_{\geq 0} R))$ . In the fiber sequence

$$\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m(\tau_{\geq 0} R) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m(\tau_{\geq 0} R) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m(\pi_0(R)^{\text{red}}),$$

the third term is discrete. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pre}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m) &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbf{Z}}}(\Sigma^2 \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{G}_m(\tau_{\geq 0} R)) \\ &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{CAlg}}(\Sigma_+^\infty \Omega^\infty \Sigma^2 \mathbf{Z}, R) \\ &= \text{Map}_{\text{CAlg}}(\Sigma_+^\infty \mathbf{C}P^\infty, R). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the functor  $\text{CAlg} \rightarrow \text{Top}$  given by  $R \mapsto \text{Pre}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m)$  is representable the affine scheme  $\text{Spec } \Sigma_+^\infty \mathbf{C}P^\infty$ . We've now accomplished task (1).

**Remark 3.3.** Note that a preorientation of  $X = \Omega^\infty \circ \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m$  gives a map  $\omega_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m, \eta} \simeq R \rightarrow \Sigma^{-2} R$  of  $R$ -modules, i.e., an element of  $\pi_2 R$ .

This representability result holds in general:

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be an  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring. Suppose  $X$  is a formal hyperplane over  $R$ . The functor  $\text{CAlg}_R \rightarrow \text{Top}$  given by  $R' \mapsto \text{Pre}(X_{R'})$  is representable by an affine scheme  $\text{Spec } A$ .*

*Proof.* The functor  $\Omega X : \text{CAlg}_R^{\text{cn}} \rightarrow \text{Top}$  is corepresentable by the connective  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring  $B = R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} R$ . We noted above that  $\text{Pre}(X) \simeq \Omega^2 X(\tau_{\geq 0} R)$ , so the functor in the proposition is corepresentable by the connective  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring  $A = R \otimes_B R$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** In particular, there is an  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring  $A$  with a ring map  $R \rightarrow A$  such that there is a universal preorientation of  $X_A$ . This gives a universal Bott map  $\omega_{X_A, \eta} \rightarrow \Sigma^{-2} A$  of  $A$ -modules.

Let  $E$  be an even periodic complex oriented  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring; then  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_0 = \text{Spf } E^0(\mathbf{C}P^\infty)$  is a formal group over  $\pi_0 E$ . Picking a coordinate  $t$  for  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_0$ , we learn that the cotangent space to  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_0$  is exactly  $(t)/(t)^2$ , which is isomorphic to  $\pi_2 E$ . One should therefore think of an identification of the cotangent space with  $\pi_0 \Sigma^{-2} E$  as providing a complex orientation (and not just a ‘‘preorientation’’) of  $E$ . In fact, this comes from a spectral identification, as we will now discuss.

**Example 3.6.** Let  $R$  be a complex oriented weakly even periodic  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring, i.e., what Jacob calls a complex periodic  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring. We will denote by  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_R^Q$  the Quillen formal group; this is the functor  $\text{Lat}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{coCAlg}_R^{\text{sm}}$  defined by sending  $M$  to  $R \otimes \Sigma_+^\infty \mathbf{C}P^\infty$ . Last time, we proved that this is a smooth formal group over  $R$  of dimension 1. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_R^Q} \simeq \underline{\text{Map}}_{\text{Sp}}(\Sigma_+^\infty \mathbf{C}P^\infty, R) =: C^*(\mathbf{C}P^\infty; R).$$

There is a canonical base point  $\eta \in \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_R^Q(\tau_{\geq 0} R)$ , given by the map  $C^*(\mathbf{C}P^\infty; R) \rightarrow R$  defined by evaluation on the basepoint of  $\mathbf{C}P^\infty$ . It follows from Proposition 2.2 that there is a fiber sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Sigma \omega_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_R^Q, \eta} & \longrightarrow & R \otimes_{C^*(\mathbf{C}P^\infty; R)} R & \longrightarrow & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim & & \parallel \\ \Sigma^{-1} R \simeq C_{\text{red}}^*(S^1; R) & \longrightarrow & C^*(S^1; R) & \xrightarrow{\text{evaluate}} & R \end{array}$$

It follows that there is a *canonical* equivalence  $\omega_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_R^Q, \eta} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma^{-2} R$ .

**Remark 3.7.** If  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_0$  is a formal group over a complex periodic  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring  $R$ , then an identification of  $\omega_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_0, \eta}$  with  $\Sigma^{-2}R$  (via a preorientation) is canonically the same as an identification of  $\omega_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_0, \eta}$  with  $\omega_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_R^Q, \eta}$ . By Proposition 2.2, this is the same as an identification of  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_0$  with  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_R^Q$ .

**Remark 3.8.** The astute reader might argue that we were initially talking about an identification of the cotangent space with  $\pi_0 \Sigma^{-2}R = \pi_2 R$ , which is *a priori* not the same as an identification of the *spectral*  $R$ -modules  $\omega_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_0, \eta}$  with  $\Sigma^{-2}R$ . This will be made clear in Theorem 3.12.

Our discussion above motivates the following definition.

**Definition 3.9.** An orientation of a formal hyperplane  $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} R$  is a preorientation for which the associated Bott map  $\omega_{X, \eta} \rightarrow \Sigma^{-2}R$  is an equivalence.

As we proved above, this is the same as an identification of  $X$  with  $\Omega^\infty \circ \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_R^Q$ .

**Remark 3.10.** As  $\omega_{X, \eta}$  is locally free of rank 1 as an  $R$ -module,  $R$  must be weakly even periodic in order for  $X$  to admit an orientation. In particular, although preorientations of  $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} R$  are equivalent to preorientations of  $X_{\tau_{\geq 0}R} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \tau_{\geq 0}R$ , it is not true that orientations of  $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} R$  are the same as orientations of  $X_{\tau_{\geq 0}R} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \tau_{\geq 0}R$ .

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let  $\mathbf{G}_0$  be a formal group over an  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring  $R$ . Then there is an equivalence*

$$\mathrm{Pre}(\mathbf{G}_0) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_R^Q, \mathbf{G}_0).$$

*Proof.* We argued above that

$$\mathrm{Pre}(\mathbf{G}_0) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbf{Z}}}(\Sigma^2 \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{G}_0(\tau_{\geq 0}R)) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Ab}(\mathrm{Top})}(\mathbf{C}P^\infty, \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{coCAlg}_R}(R, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_0}^\vee)).$$

This reflects the slogan “ $\mathbf{C}P^\infty$  is generated by  $\mathbf{C}P^1$  as a topological abelian group”. Therefore

$$\mathrm{Pre}(\mathbf{G}_0) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Ab}(\mathrm{coCAlg}_R)}(R \otimes \Sigma_+^\infty \mathbf{C}P^\infty, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_0}^\vee) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_R^Q, \mathbf{G}_0).$$

□

The following result makes everything run.

**Theorem 3.12.** *Fix an  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring  $R$ .*

- (1) *Let  $X$  be a formal hyperplane over  $R$ . Then there is an  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring<sup>2</sup>  $R^{\mathrm{or}}$  with a ring map  $R \rightarrow R^{\mathrm{or}}$  such that there is a universal orientation of  $X_{R^{\mathrm{or}}}$ .*
- (2) *Suppose  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$  is a formal group over  $R$  with a preorientation  $e \in \mathrm{Pre}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}})$ . Then  $e$  is an orientation if and only if*
  - (a)  *$R$  is complex periodic.*
  - (b) *The associated map  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_R^Q \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{G}}$  is an equivalence.*

*Proof.* We begin by proving (1); this is equivalent to proving that the functor  $\mathrm{CAlg}_R \rightarrow \mathrm{Top}$  given by  $R' \mapsto \{\text{orientations of } X_{R'}\}$  is corepresentable. In Proposition 3.4, we showed that the functor  $R' \mapsto \mathrm{Pre}(X_{R'})$  is corepresented by an  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ - $R$ -algebra  $A$ . By construction, this is equipped with a universal Bott map  $\omega_{X_A, \eta} \rightarrow \Sigma^{-2}A$ . In order to prove (1), it therefore suffices to prove the following result: let  $R$  be an  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring, and suppose  $u : L \rightarrow L'$  is a map of invertible

<sup>2</sup>Jacob denotes this by  $\mathfrak{D}_X$ , but I do not know how to draw a fraktur O on the chalkboard.

$R$ -modules. Then there is an object  $R[u^{-1}]$  such that for every  $A \in \mathbf{CAlg}_R$ , we have:

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{CAlg}_R}(R[u^{-1}], A) \simeq \begin{cases} * & \text{if } u : A \otimes_R L \xrightarrow{\sim} A \otimes_R L' \\ \emptyset & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The proof of this result is just algebra, so we will omit it. There is an equivalence of  $R$ -modules

$$\mathrm{colim}(R \xrightarrow{u} L^{-1} \otimes_R L' \xrightarrow{u} (L^{-1})^{\otimes 2} \otimes_R L'^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{u} \dots) \simeq R[u^{-1}].$$

Applying this to the Bott map  $\beta : L = \omega_{X_A, \eta} \rightarrow \Sigma^{-2}A = L'$ , we get the  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ - $R$ -algebra  $R^{\mathrm{or}} = A[\beta^{-1}]$ .

Let us now turn to the proof of (2). Our discussion above establishes that if  $R$  is complex periodic and the associated map  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_R^Q \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_0$  (from Lemma 3.11) is an equivalence, then  $e$  is an orientation. It suffices to prove the other direction.

Suppose  $e$  is an orientation. As (b) is equivalent to the map  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_R^Q \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_0$  being an equivalence (by Proposition 2.2), it suffices to show that  $R$  is complex periodic. As  $R$  is weakly even periodic by Remark 3.10, it suffices to show that  $R$  is complex oriented. In other words, we need to show that the map  $\pi_{-2}C_{\mathrm{red}}^*(\mathbf{CP}^\infty; R) \rightarrow \pi_{-2}C_{\mathrm{red}}^*(\mathbf{CP}^1; R)$  is surjective. To prove this, we will use the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_0}(-1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_0} & \longrightarrow & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ C_{\mathrm{red}}^*(\mathbf{CP}^\infty; R) & \longrightarrow & C^*(\mathbf{CP}^\infty; R) & \longrightarrow & R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ C_{\mathrm{red}}^*(\mathbf{CP}^1; R) & \longrightarrow & C^*(\mathbf{CP}^1; R) & \longrightarrow & R \end{array}$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_0}(-1)$  is defined as the fiber of the augmentation  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_0} \rightarrow R$ . The map  $C_{\mathrm{red}}^*(\mathbf{CP}^\infty; R) \rightarrow C_{\mathrm{red}}^*(\mathbf{CP}^1; R)$  therefore factors the map  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_0}(-1) \rightarrow C_{\mathrm{red}}^*(\mathbf{CP}^1; R)$ , so it suffices to prove that the latter map is surjective on  $\pi_{-2}$ . This map can be identified with the composite

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_0}(-1) \rightarrow R \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_0}(-1) = \omega_{\mathbf{G}_0} \xrightarrow{\beta} \Sigma^{-2}R \simeq C_{\mathrm{red}}^*(\mathbf{CP}^1; R).$$

The Bott map  $\beta$  is an equivalence since  $e$  is an orientation. The proof is now completed by observing that the map  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_0}(-1) \rightarrow \omega_{\mathbf{G}_0}$  is surjective on homotopy.  $\square$

**Remark 3.13.** Let  $R$  be an  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring and  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$  a preoriented formal group over  $R$ . Denote by  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_0$  the underlying classical formal group of  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}$ , living over  $\pi_0 R$ . It follows from Theorem 3.12 that a preorientation  $e \in \Omega^2 \widehat{\mathbf{G}}(R)$  is an orientation if and only if:

- (1)  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \pi_0 R$  is smooth of relative dimension 1.
- (2) The map  $\omega_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_0} \rightarrow \pi_2 R$  induces isomorphisms

$$\omega_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_0} \otimes_{\pi_0 R} \pi_n R \xrightarrow{\beta} \pi_2 R \otimes_{\pi_0 R} \pi_n R \rightarrow \pi_{n+2} R$$

for every integer  $n$ .

See [Lur09, Definition 3.3] for this definition of an orientation.

**Example 3.14.** It follows from Example 3.2 and Remark 3.3 that the universal Bott element  $\beta$  for  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m \rightarrow \text{Spec } S$  lies in  $\pi_2 \Sigma_+^\infty \mathbf{C}P^\infty$ . By Example 2.5, we learn that  $\beta$  is exactly given by the inclusion of  $S^2 = \mathbf{C}P^1$  into  $\mathbf{C}P^\infty$ ; in other words,  $\beta$  is the usual Bott map. We've now accomplished task (2) as well. It follows from Theorem 3.12 that  $S^{\text{or}} = \Sigma_+^\infty \mathbf{C}P^\infty[\beta^{-1}]$ .

**Example 3.15.** Let us return to the discussion in the introduction. Fix a non-stationary  $p$ -divisible group  $\mathbf{G}_0$  over a Noetherian  $F$ -finite  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -algebra  $R_0$ . Denote by  $\mathbf{G}$  the universal deformation of  $\mathbf{G}_0$  over the  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring  $R_{\mathbf{G}_0}^{\text{un}}$ , and let  $\mathbf{G}^\circ$  be the connected component of the identity. Then  $\mathbf{G}^\circ$  is a formal group over  $R_{\mathbf{G}_0}^{\text{un}}$ . By Theorem 3.12, there is an  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ - $R_{\mathbf{G}_0}^{\text{un}}$ -algebra  $R_{\mathbf{G}_0}^{\text{or}}$  such that there is a universal orientation of  $\mathbf{G}^\circ \otimes_{R_{\mathbf{G}_0}^{\text{un}}} R_{\mathbf{G}_0}^{\text{or}}$ . This  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring is the desired analogue of Morava  $E$ -theory for  $p$ -divisible groups (compare with Example 3.14 and Snaith's theorem).

It is not clear that  $R_{\mathbf{G}_0}^{\text{or}}$  agrees with Morava  $E$ -theory when  $R_0$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$  and  $\mathbf{G}_0$  is a  $p$ -divisible formal group over  $R_0$ ; this will be the content of the following two lectures. The method of proof of this result is a generalization of the moduli-theoretic proof of Snaith's theorem (see [Mat12]). In order to prove this result, it will be simpler to work in the  $K(n)$ -local category: it turns out that this does not lose any information since one can prove that  $R_{\mathbf{G}_0}^{\text{or}}$  is itself  $K(n)$ -local. We will now develop some methods allowing us to prove that an  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring is  $K(n)$ -local, which will be useful in the sequel.

#### 4. $K(n)$ -locality of complex periodic $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -rings

Let us begin with a classical observation<sup>3</sup>.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $R$  be a complex oriented ring spectrum (not necessarily an  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring). Then there is an equivalence*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\quad} & L_{K(n)}R \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \sim \\ & & \text{holim}_{J \in \mathbf{N}^n} v_n^{-1}R/I_n^J =: R_{v_n}, \end{array}$$

where  $I_n = (p, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) \subseteq BP_*$  and  $I_n^J = (p^{J_0}, v_1^{J_1}, \dots, v_{n-1}^{J_{n-1}})$ .

*Proof.* We must first show that the map  $R \rightarrow R_{v_n}$  factors through  $L_{K(n)}R$ . It suffices to show that each  $v_n^{-1}R/I_n^J$  is  $K(n)$ -local. The spectrum  $v_n^{-1}R/I_n^J$  is built from  $v_n^{-1}R/I_n$  by a finite number of cofiber sequence, so it suffices to prove that the spectrum  $v_n^{-1}R/I_n$  is  $K(n)$ -local. This spectrum is a  $v_n^{-1}BP/I_n$ -module, hence  $v_n^{-1}BP/I_n$ -local. As  $\langle v_n^{-1}BP/I_n \rangle = \langle K(n) \rangle$ , it is also  $K(n)$ -local.

To prove that the map  $L_{K(n)}R \rightarrow R_{v_n}$  is an equivalence, we must show that  $K(n)_*R \xrightarrow{\sim} K(n)_*R_{v_n}$ . It suffices to prove this after smashing the map  $R \rightarrow R_{v_n}$  with a finite complex of type  $n$ . Consider the type  $n$  complex  $X = S/(p^{I_0}, v_1^{I_1}, \dots, v_{n-1}^{I_{n-1}})$  for some cofinal  $(I_0, I_1, \dots, I_{n-1})$  coming from the Devinatz-Hopkins-Smith nilpotence technology (see [DHS88, HS98]); then

$$R_{v_n} \wedge X \simeq \text{holim}_{J \in \mathbf{N}^n} (v_n^{-1}R/I_n^J \wedge X) \simeq v_n^{-1}R/I_n^I.$$

<sup>3</sup>I don't know of a reference for this statement.

Therefore, as  $K(n)_*(R \wedge X) \simeq K(n)_*(R/I_n^I)$ , we learn that

$$K(n)_*(R \wedge X) \simeq K(n)_*(v_n^{-1}R/I_n^I) \simeq K(n)_*(R_{v_n} \wedge X),$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *A complex oriented ring spectrum  $R$  is  $K(n)$ -local iff  $R$  is  $I_n$ -complete and  $v_n$  is a unit modulo  $I_n$  (in other words, the underlying formal group of the Quillen formal group over  $\pi_0 R$  has height at most  $n$ ).*

Our goal in this section is to give another proof of Corollary 4.2 for  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -rings which des not rely on Devinatz-Hopkins-Smith.

Recall (a standard reference is Paul Goerss' paper [Goe08] on quasicoherent sheaves on  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{fg}}$ ):

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $\mathbf{G}_0$  be a formal group over a (classical)  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -scheme  $S$ . Then  $\mathbf{G}_0$  has height  $\geq n$  if there is a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{G}_0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathbf{G}_0^{(p)} & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{(p)}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{(p^{n-1})}} & \mathbf{G}_0^{(p^n)} \\ & & & & & & \downarrow T \\ & & & & & & \mathbf{G}_0 \end{array}$$

$[p]$

**Construction 4.4.** The map  $T$  induces a map  $T^* : \omega_{\mathbf{G}_0} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathbf{G}_0^{(p^n)}} \simeq \omega_{\mathbf{G}_0}^{\otimes p^n}$ . As  $\omega_{\mathbf{G}_0}$  is a line bundle, this is the same as a map  $\mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \omega_{\mathbf{G}_0}^{\otimes (p^n-1)}$ . This defines a global section  $v_n \in \omega_{\mathbf{G}_0}^{\otimes (p^n-1)}$ , called the  $n$ th Hasse invariant. Let  $\mathcal{M}(n+1)$  be the closed substack of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{fg}}$  defined by the line bundle  $\omega_{\mathbf{G}_0}^{\otimes p^n-1}$  and the section  $v_n$ .

**Definition 4.5.** Let  $\mathcal{I}_n$  denote the ideal sheaf defining the closed substack  $\mathcal{M}(n)$ , so that  $\mathcal{I}_n$  is the image of the injection  $v_n : \omega_{\mathbf{G}_{\text{univ}}}^{\otimes -(p^n-1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{fg}}}$ . If  $S = \text{Spec } R$  is a  $\mathbf{F}_p$ -scheme and  $\mathbf{G}_0$  is given by a map  $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{fg}}$ , the pullback  $f^*\mathcal{I}_n =: I_n^{\mathbf{G}_0}$  defines an ideal of  $R$ . This is called the  $n$ th Landweber ideal of  $\mathbf{G}_0$ .

**Notation 4.6.** If  $R$  is an  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring and  $\mathbf{G}$  is a formal group over  $R$ , we set  $I_n^{\mathbf{G}} = I_n^{\mathbf{G}_0} \subseteq \pi_0 R$ . Let  $R$  be an  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring, and  $\mathbf{G}$  be a formal group over  $R$ . Say that  $\mathbf{G}$  has height  $< n$  if  $I_n^{\mathbf{G}} = \pi_0 R$ .

**Definition 4.7.** If  $R$  is complex periodic, we set  $I_n = I_n^{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_R^Q}$ , with  $R$  left implicit; this is the  $n$ th Landweber ideal<sup>4</sup> of  $R$ .

Let  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_R^{Q_n}$  denote the base change  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_R^Q \otimes_{\pi_0 R} \pi_0 R/I_n$ . By construction,  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_R^{Q_n}$  has height  $\geq n$ . Moreover, it follows from Proposition 2.2 that  $\omega_{\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_R^{Q_n}} = \pi_2(R)/I_n$ . The section  $v_n$  is now an element of  $\pi_{2(p^n-1)}(R)/I_n$ . Let  $\bar{v}_n$  denote any lift of  $v_n$  to  $\pi_{2(p^n-1)}R$ ; then  $I_{n+1}$  is generated by  $I_n$  and  $\bar{v}_n \pi_{-2(p^n-1)}R$ .

We can now state the generalization of Corollary 4.2. Assume that we have  $p$ -localized everywhere.

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $R$  be a complex periodic  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring and let  $n > 0$ . The  $R$ -module  $M$  is  $K(n)$ -local if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1)  $M$  is complete with respect to  $I_n \subseteq \pi_0 R$ .

<sup>4</sup>Jacob denotes this by  $\mathfrak{J}_n^R$ , but again, I do not know how to write a fraktur I.



(2) multiplication by  $\bar{v}_n$  induces an equivalence  $\Sigma^{2(p^n-1)}M \rightarrow M$ .

*Proof.* Assume that (1) and (2) are satisfied. It suffices to prove the following statement for all  $0 \leq m \leq n$ : if  $N$  is a perfect  $R$ -module which is  $I_m$ -nilpotent, then  $M \otimes_R N$  is  $K(n)$ -local. Indeed, when  $n = 0$ , choosing  $N = R$  gives us that  $M = M \otimes_R R$  is  $K(n)$ -local.

This statement is proved by descending induction along  $m$ . We first prove the statement in the case  $m = n$ . To prove that  $M \otimes_R N$  is  $K(n)$ -local, we need to show that for any  $K(n)$ -acyclic<sup>5</sup> spectrum  $X$ , the space  $\text{Map}_{\text{Sp}}(X, M \otimes_R N) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Sp}}(X \otimes N^\vee, M)$  is contractible. As usual,  $N^\vee$  denotes the  $R$ -linear dual of  $N$ . It therefore suffices to prove that  $X \otimes N^\vee$  is zero.

The spectrum  $MUP \otimes R$  is faithfully flat over  $R$ ; this is a classical result (e.g., in Adams' blue book) but we have chosen to rephrase it in fancy language. Therefore it suffices to prove that  $X \otimes N^\vee \otimes_R MUP \otimes R \simeq X \otimes N^\vee \otimes MUP$  is contractible.

Let  $u \in \pi_2 MUP$  be an invertible element. As  $v_m \in \pi_{2(p^m-1)} MUP/I_m^{MUP}$ , we can choose elements  $w_m \in \pi_0 MUP$  such that  $w_m = \bar{v}_m u^{-(p^m-1)}$ . By construction,  $(w_0, \dots, w_{n-1})$  generate  $I_n^{MUP}$ . Clearly  $I_n^{MUP}$  and  $I_n$  generate the same ideal inside  $\pi_0(R \otimes MUP)$ , so perfectness and  $I_n$ -nilpotence of  $N$  implies that  $N^\vee \otimes MUP$  is a perfect module over  $R \otimes MUP$  which is  $I_n^{MUP}$ -nilpotent.

$N^\vee \otimes MUP$  is a retract of  $N^\vee \otimes MUP/(w_0^k, \dots, w_{n-1}^k)$  for  $k \gg 0$  by construction, so it suffices to prove that each  $X \otimes N^\vee \otimes MUP/(w_0^k, \dots, w_{n-1}^k)$  vanishes. However, as we can build  $MUP/(w_0^k, \dots, w_{n-1}^k)$  from  $MUP/(w_0, \dots, w_{n-1})$  by a finite number of cofiber sequences, it suffices to show that  $X \otimes N^\vee \otimes MUP/(w_0, \dots, w_{n-1})$  vanishes.

As before,  $w_n$  acts invertibly on  $N^\vee \otimes MUP$ , so it can be regarded as a  $R \otimes MUP[w_n^{-1}]$ -module. In particular, it suffices to show that  $X \otimes N^\vee \otimes MUP/(w_0, \dots, w_{n-1})[w_n^{-1}]$  vanishes. However,  $MUP/(w_0, \dots, w_{n-1})[w_n^{-1}]$  is  $v_n^{-1}BP/I_n$ -local, hence  $K(n)$ -local. As  $X$  is  $K(n)$ -acyclic, we learn that  $X \otimes MUP/(w_0, \dots, w_{n-1})[w_n^{-1}]$  is contractible, as desired.

To prove that (1) and (2) imply that  $M$  is  $K(n)$ -local, it remains to establish the inductive step. Concretely, we need to show that  $N$  being a perfect  $R$ -module which is  $I_m$ -nilpotent implies that  $M \otimes_R N$  is  $K(n)$ -local. Condition (1) says that  $M$  is  $I_n$ -complete, so perfectness of  $N$  implies that  $M \otimes_R N$  is also  $I_n$ -complete. Therefore

$$M \otimes_R N = \text{holim } M \otimes_R (N/v_m^k).$$

Each  $N/v_m^k$  is  $I_{m+1}$ -nilpotent, so  $M \otimes_R (N/v_m^k)$  is  $K(n)$ -local by the inductive hypothesis.

It remains to establish that if  $M$  is  $K(n)$ -local, then (1) and (2) are satisfied. To establish (1), we need to show that  $M$  is  $(x)$ -complete for every  $x \in I_n$ . In other words, we must show that for every  $R[1/x]$ -module  $N$ , the space  $\text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R}(N, M)$  is contractible. As  $M$  is  $K(n)$ -local, there is an equivalence

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R}(N, M) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_R}(L_{K(n)}N, M).$$

It therefore suffices to show that  $L_{K(n)}N = 0$ , i.e.,  $K(n) \otimes N = 0$ . This is a  $K(n) \otimes R[1/x]$ -module, so it suffices to show that  $K(n) \otimes R[1/x] = 0$ . This is easy: the ring  $\pi_0(K(n) \otimes N)$  carries two formal group laws, namely the height  $n$  formal group law from  $K(n)$ , and the height  $< n$  formal group law from  $R[1/t]$ . These

<sup>5</sup>There is a typo in Jacob's book.

cannot be isomorphic as they are of different heights, so  $K(n) \otimes R[1/x] = 0$ , as desired.

To establish (2), we need to show that the map  $\Sigma^{2(p^n-1)}M \xrightarrow{\bar{v}_n} M$  is an equivalence. As  $M$  is  $K(n)$ -local, it suffices to show that  $\Sigma^{2(p^n-1)}M \otimes K(n) \xrightarrow{\bar{v}_n} M \otimes K(n)$  is an equivalence. As the formal group law over  $\pi_0(R \otimes K(n))$  has height  $n$ , this map is an isomorphism on homotopy, as desired.  $\square$

This recovers a special case of Corollary 4.2:

**Corollary 4.9.** *Let  $R$  be a complex periodic  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring and let  $n > 0$ . Then  $R$  is  $K(n)$ -local if and only if:*

- (1)  $R$  is  $I_n$ -complete.
- (2)  $I_{n+1} = \pi_0 R$ , i.e.,  $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_R^Q$  has height  $\leq n$ .

*Proof.* Suppose (1) and (2) are satisfied. As  $R$  is  $I_n$ -complete, Theorem 4.8 says that  $R$  is  $K(n)$ -local if and only if multiplication by  $\bar{v}_n$  induces an equivalence  $\Sigma^{2(p^n-1)}R \rightarrow R$  of  $R$ -modules. In other words, it suffices to establish that  $\bar{v}_n$  is invertible in  $\pi_*R$ . We know that  $I_{n+1} = \pi_0 R$  is generated by  $I_n$  and the image of  $\bar{v}_n : \pi_{-2(p^n-1)}R \rightarrow \pi_0 R$ . Therefore,  $\bar{v}_n$  is invertible modulo  $I_n$ . We are now done: the  $I_n$ -completeness of  $\pi_0 R$  implies that  $\bar{v}_n$  is itself invertible.

The proof of the other direction is exactly the same, with the steps reversed. Assume  $R$  is  $K(n)$ -local. Theorem 4.8 implies that  $R$  is  $I_n$ -complete, so it suffices to establish that  $I_{n+1} = \pi_0 R$ . Again,  $I_{n+1}$  is generated by  $I_n$  and the image of  $\bar{v}_n : \pi_{-2(p^n-1)}R \rightarrow \pi_0 R$  — but condition (2) implies that the latter map is an isomorphism (as  $\bar{v}_n$  is invertible in  $\pi_*R$  by Theorem 4.8). Therefore  $I_{n+1} = \pi_0 R$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.10.** Note that this result is strictly weaker than Corollary 4.2: it requires that  $R$  be weakly even periodic and an  $\mathbf{E}_\infty$ -ring.

## References

- [DHS88] E. Devinatz, M. Hopkins, and J. Smith. Nilpotence and stable homotopy theory. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 128(2):207–241, 1988.
- [Goe08] P. Goerss. Quasi-coherent sheaves on the moduli stack of formal groups. <https://arxiv.org/abs/0802.0996>, 2008.
- [HS98] M. Hopkins and J. Smith. Nilpotence and stable homotopy theory. II. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 148(1):1–49, 1998.
- [Lur09] J. Lurie. A survey of elliptic cohomology. In *Algebraic Topology*, volume 4 of *Abel. Symp.*, pages 219–277. Springer, 2009.
- [Lur16] J. Lurie. Elliptic Cohomology II, 2016.
- [Mat12] A. Mathew. Snaith’s construction of complex K-theory. <http://math.uchicago.edu/~amathew/snaith.pdf>, 2012.
- [Sna81] V. Snaith. Localized stable homotopy of some classifying spaces. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 89(2):325–330, 1981.