


Thursday seminar

14-4-2022



Higher exponents of generalized Moore spaces

from p -exponents to v_i -exponents, $i \geq 0$

CNN: $\Omega_0^{2n+1} \Sigma^{2n+1}$ has exp. p^n (p odd)

Wang: v_2 -periodic h -type groups

$\Omega_0 \Phi_2 \Sigma^3$ are p -torsion

and v_1^2 acts trivially ($p \geq 5$)

guess: v_i act trivially on $\Omega_0 \Phi_n \Sigma^l$ for $i < n$

however: the spectrum $\Phi_2 \Sigma^3$ does not have
a v_1 -exponent

$E_+ (\Phi_2 \Sigma^3)$ is not v_1 -torsion

CMN, Heisenberg: for p odd, $k \geq 2$, the
space $\Omega^2 S^k / p^r$ has exponent p^{r+1}

Ther. 5.11: for $p=2$, $r \geq 2$, get exponent
 $\leq k/2$?

What about generalized Moore spaces?

$$S^k / (p^{r_0}, v_1^{r_1}, \dots, v_{n-1}^{r_{n-1}})$$

(or just general finite type n space V)

guess: these have a v_i -exponent for $i < n$

obs.: the suspension spectrum of V
contains v_i

Goal: This is true after inverting v_h
 (for arbitrary $h \geq 0$).

in particular: $\Phi_h(\Sigma V)$ has v_i -exponent $i < n$

preliminaries

① V formal finite space of type i ,

$$\Sigma^d V \xrightarrow{v} V \quad v_i \text{ self-map}$$

then $X \in \mathcal{L}_d$ has a v_i -exponent of

$$\text{Map}_d(V, X) \xrightarrow{v^+} \Omega^d \text{Map}_d(V, X) \xrightarrow{v^h} \dots$$

is unipotent

generally: \mathcal{L} finite ω -set with finite limits

$$X^V \xrightarrow{v^+} \Omega^d X^V \xrightarrow{v^h} \dots$$

② "inverting v_h ":

localize Δ_d by killing cellular v_h
by finite space F of type $h+1$,

a suspension
 d : dim of bottom cell of F

L_h^f Bousfield localization w.r.t. $F \rightarrow *$

Define $L_h^b \Delta_d \langle d \rangle$ for full subcategory of Δ_d
a d -connected, L_h^b -local spaces.

The (Bousfield): A map $X \rightarrow Y$ of d -conn-
spaces is a L_h^b -equiv. id and only if

Δ is a fib on v_i -periodic h-type sps
for $0 \leq i \leq h$.

Notes: $\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_+(d) \xrightarrow{L_h^t} L_h^t \mathcal{L}_+(d)$ preserves
columns \rightarrow finite limits

$\rightarrow L_h^t \mathcal{L}_+(d)$ admits Goodwillie calculus,

$$P_k(\text{id}_{L_h^t \mathcal{L}_+(d)}) = L_h^t P_k \text{id}^n$$

Main Thm: If $X \in \mathcal{L}_+(d)$ such that

$L_h^t \Sigma^\infty X$ has a v_i -exp., $i < n$, then
so does the space $L_h^t \Sigma X$.

Cor. The spectrum $\mathbb{F}_h(\Sigma X)$ also has
a v_i -exposed, $i < n$.

outline of pb:

part A: "H-spaces are nilpotent in $L_h^f \mathcal{L}_d(d)^n$ "

facts: if X is a rational H-space, then in fact it is a int. loop space
(product of EM's)

Def. An object $X \in L_h^f \mathcal{L}_d(d)^n$ is hypo nilpotent (of exp. k) if $X \rightarrow P_k X$ admits a retraction.
(cf. Bredermann-Dwyer)

(I've just work with Bratcher, Hahn, Yum)

The A: Any H -space $X \in \mathcal{L}_h^p \mathcal{L}_+ \langle d \rangle$ is
htpy nilpotent of exponent p^h .

part B:

The B (Mather): If $S_p \xrightarrow{F} S_p$ is a
reduced polynomial functor (in the sense of
Goodwillie) and Y has a v_i -exp., then
 $F(Y)$ also has a v_i -exp., i.e.

proof of Mather

take $X \in \mathcal{L}_+ \langle d \rangle$, s.t. $\mathcal{L}_h^f \Sigma^\infty X$ has v_i -exp.
suffices to show $\mathcal{L}_h^f \Omega X$ has v_i -exp.

this is why instead of exponent p^h (The A),
 so suffices to find exponents for

$$D_k(\Omega X) \cong \Omega^\infty \left(\text{skid} \otimes (L_h \Sigma^\infty \Omega X)^{\otimes k} \right)_{h \Sigma_k}$$

$$\text{with } k \leq p^h$$

apply The B, observing that

$$L_h \Sigma^\infty \Omega X = \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} L_h \Sigma^\infty X^{\otimes j}$$

has same exponent as $L_h \Sigma^\infty X$. \square

Proof of The A:

if H -space is a retract of a loop space,

so why X is a loop space

Step 1: X loop space $\Rightarrow X \xrightarrow{\eta} \Omega X$ has
 retractor

⇒ sufficient to establish factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \Omega \Sigma X \\
 & \searrow & \nearrow \\
 & P_{ph} X &
 \end{array}$$

will do this for $\pi_1 X$ (not necessarily loop space)

Step 2: functors involved preserve skel colimits, so sufficient to do this for generators

$$X = L_h^b \left(\underbrace{S^{d_1} \vee \dots \vee S^{d_1}}_{k \text{ copies}} \right)$$

Step 3: Hilton - Mislove thm gives decomposition of η

weak- π product over words w in
 a basis for free Lie alg. on x_1, \dots, x_k

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S^{d_1} \vee \dots \vee S^{d_{k+1}} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \prod_{w \in \text{Lie}(k)} \mathbb{R} \Sigma w(S^{d_1}, \dots, S^{d_{k+1}}) \\
 | & & \uparrow \text{inclusion of "linear" words } x_1, \dots, x_k \\
 S^{d_1} \times \dots \times S^{d_{k+1}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R} \Sigma S^{d_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R} \Sigma S^{d_{k+1}}
 \end{array}$$

Step 4: Arone-Mohaupt:

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow P_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes l}) \cong \mathcal{L}^{\otimes l}\text{-equiv} \\
 \text{if } l \text{ odd} \\
 (\text{if } l \text{ ev: } 2\mathbb{Z}^{\otimes l} \text{ if } l \text{ ev})
 \end{array}$$

$$S^{d+1} \times \dots \times S^{d+1} \longrightarrow \Omega \Sigma S^{d+1} \times \dots \times \Omega \Sigma S^{d+1}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\mathbb{P}h(S^{d+1} \times \dots \times S^{d+1})$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\mathbb{P}h(S^{d+1}) \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}h(S^{d+1}) \rightarrow \Omega \mathbb{P}h(\Sigma S^{d+1}) \times \dots \times \Omega \mathbb{P}h(\Sigma S^{d+1})$$

one of the vertical composites is a $L_{\mathbb{Z}}^1$ -equivalence
by Aracé-Mahowald, so get $h\mathbb{Z}$ is square

□

part B: polynomial functors & exponents

\mathcal{L} stable ∞ -category

(in particular, to make sense of tensor objects of \mathcal{L} with finite spectra)

F a div. spectrum

Def: (1) $X \in \mathcal{L}$ is F -nilpotent if it's in the thick subcat. generated by objects of the form $F \otimes Y$, $Y \in \mathcal{L}$

(2) $X \in \mathcal{L}$ is F -torsion if it's a colimit of F -nilpotent objects

Lemma: $X \in \mathcal{L}$ has a v_i -exp. $\Leftrightarrow X$ is V -nilpotent for any type n or complex V

Pr: \Rightarrow say $v_i^k \otimes X$ is null

($\sum^k F \xrightarrow{v_i} F$ is self-map)

thk $\sum^k F \otimes X \xrightarrow[v_i^k]{0} F \otimes X \rightarrow F/v_i^k \otimes X$

$F \otimes X \cong F/v_3^k$ - wfp.

' \Leftarrow ': category of $X \in \mathcal{L}$ with v_3 -exp. \cong those,
so subject to do this for $V \otimes Y$,
 $Y \in \mathcal{L}$

but V has red \leftarrow exp. by
Periodicity then \square

The B (Mather): Let $P: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be
a reduced polynomial functor between stable
 ∞ -cat's. The P preserves F -wfp objects

Pl: Embed \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D} into $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{L})$ & $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{D})$.

Extend \mathcal{P} to $\hat{\mathcal{P}}: \text{Ind}(H) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(D)$

preserving filtered objects.

By induction on Goodwillie tower, suffices to treat homogeneous $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$.

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}(X) \cong L(X, \dots, X)_{h\mathbb{Z}_n}$$

for some multilinear $L: \text{Ind}(H)^n \rightarrow \text{Ind}(D)$,

so $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ preserves F -torsion objects

but this suffices!

- If $X \in \mathcal{L}$ F -isolate, then

$\hat{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is filtered colimit of compact F -isolate

objects, but also itself $\text{qct} \in \text{Ind}(D)$

$\Rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ retract of a F -isolate object. \square

Cor: Let V be finite type n spectrum.

then the free Lie alg.

$$L_{\mathbb{Z}} \left(\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} (L(k) \otimes V^{\otimes k})_{\mathbb{Z}} \right)$$

has a (p, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) -exp.

by contrast: $L_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Sym}^{\geq 1}(S/p)$ does not
have a p -exp.